

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/561,232	04/23/2007	Kook-Heui Lee	50319	1643		
1609 ROYLANCE.	7590 09/30/201 ABRAMS, BERDO &	EXAM	EXAMINER			
1300 19TH STREET, N.W. SUITE 600 WASHINGTON., DC 20036			GU	GU, YU		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	2617				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			09/30/2010	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/561,232	LEE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
YU (Andy) GU	2617		

	YU (Andy) GU	2617						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
THE REPLY FILED 09 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.						
application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this ication, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the ication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31. or (3) a Request Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.14. The reply must be filed within one of the following time dds:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS for this the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Mote: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TW. MONTHS OF THE FIRNAL REJECTION. Sea WHEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fave bear been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extensions for leaunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set fort in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lates than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filled, may reduce any sermed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), a wavid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
 Interproposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for 								
appeal; and/or								
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)								
	6. 🔲 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:								
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. In the affidavit or other evidence flied after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).								
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
1. So The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.								
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other:								
/LESTER KINCAID/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/YU (Andy) GU/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Applicant's remarks filed on 9/09/2010 have been carefully considered, but found un-persuasive. The Applicant argues that the combination of Pirishane and Khawand fails to arrive at the limitation "wherein the information received over MBMS control channel includes... at least two causes being UE counting and establishment of a point-to-point channel used by the MBMS" (see Applicant's remarks page 5 and 6). The Applicant further argues that Khawand's disclosure is irrelevant. The Examiner disagrees. Considering the following remarks:

As stated in the rejection of claim 1 in the Office Action dated 7/09/2010, Pirskanen's discourse raises the need for the establishment of a point-to-print channel between the UE and the network (e.g., PRMC may establish point-to-point channels for each UE...", paragraph (0007)). Khawand discloses a signaling procedure, wherein the UE receives information (e.g. 4/22), initiates an establishment of a radio bearer in the information received contains indication as the stablishment of a radio bearer (i.e. a point-to-point channel as the radio bearer is between UE 102 and base station 106) (see at least Khawand, Fig. 4A and related descriptions). Therefore, one of ordinarily skill the art would borrow the signaling procedure from Khawand, and modify Pirskanen by including an additional indication for establishment a point-to-point channel in the MBMS control channel signaling, for the purpose of the establishment of a point-to-point channel as quages by Pirskanen.